

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,282	07/22/2003	Frederick G. Payne	54593-2	7900	
23994	7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER		
JOSEPH W	MOTT	KATCHEVES, BASIL S			
	TROUSS & SALMON PLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
201 EAST WASHINGTON STREET			AKTONII	PATER NOMBER	
11TH FLOOR	₹	3635			
PHOENIX, A	AZ 85004-2385			_	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/625,2	625,282 PAYNE, FREDERICK G.		RICK G.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Basil Kato	heves	3635				
Dowland fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
Period fo	• •			(2)				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting reto reply within the set or extended period for repl	LING DATE OF THE ST CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. The period will apply and we have the apply apply and we have the apply apply and we have the apply apply apply and we have the apply appl	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be tir rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 06 June 2006						
′=	<u> </u>							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International	•	` '/'					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cert	itled copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	We)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (DTO_412)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTor No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This non final action is necessitated by the reconsideration of art previously cited in the action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,269,008 to Assouline.

Regarding claim 1, Assouline discloses a method of discouraging roosting comprising identifying a roosting zone (abstract) and applying a slide (Flat surfaces at fig. 1: 7 and fig. 4: 2) sheet which comprises angled slick outer faces (4). Regarding the "formed" limitation, applicant should note that determination of patentability is based on the method itself. The patentability of a method does not depend on an article's method of production (in this case "formed"). If the article in the method claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.

Regarding claim 2, Assouline discloses the slide as being a peaked polyhedron (claim 1).

Regarding claim 3, Assouline discloses the slide as a triangular prism.

Regarding claim 4, Assouline discloses the slide as being a pyramid.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 5, Assouline discloses a triangular prism, the side affixed to the roosting zone is partially open (fig. 4: area between slides), and the slide across section is an inverted "V" (fig. 2: 4, inverted V profile).

Regarding claim 10, Assouline discloses a partial pyramid (fig. 1: see top portion 5 and angled surfaces of pyramid which if extended to base 7 would be angled, not straight as 3 is, therefore disclosing the top portion of a pyramid and not the entire pyramid).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,269,008 to Assouline.

Regarding claim 11, Assouline discloses a method of discouraging roosting comprising identifying a roosting zone (abstract) and applying a slide (Flat surfaces at fig. 1: 7 and fig. 4: 2) sheet which comprises angled slick outer faces (4). Assouline discloses the basic claim structure of the instant application but does not disclose angled dimensions as following roof slopes. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed slopes, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to

Application/Control Number: 10/625,282

Art Unit: 3635

use the dimensions such as specified in these claims since Assouline discloses the use of differing slopes (fig. 2: 4' and 4").

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,269,008 to Assouline in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,546,676 to Wiesener et al.

Assouline does not disclose silicone applied along a periphery to fasten the object. Wiesener discloses a bird repelling device secured to a structure through the use of a silicone adhesive (column 3, lines 14-16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Assouline by adding silicone adhesive, as disclosed by Wiesener, along the periphery of the device in order to create a weather resistant seal in order to prevent water from entering the underside of the device and rotting the material beneath.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/06 have been fully considered but are moot under new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,282

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

Basil Katcheves

7/27/06

Examiner AU 3635

Page 5